

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE FIRST NAMED INVENTOR ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO. 09/783,917 02/15/2001 872.0014USU Seppo Alanara 1347 **EXAMINER** 29683 7590 01/14/2004 HARRINGTON & SMITH, LLP TRAN, PABLO N **4 RESEARCH DRIVE ART UNIT** PAPER NUMBER SHELTON, CT 06484-6212 2685 DATE MAILED: 01/14/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	09/783,917	ALANARA, SEPPO
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Pablo N Tran	2685
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply		
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status		
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>27 August 2003</u> .		
2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) This action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.		
Disposition of Claims		
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) ⊠ Claim(s) 4 and 5 is/are allowed. 6) ⊠ Claim(s) 1-3 is/are rejected. 7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.		
Application Papers		
 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. 		
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120		
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priori application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic since a specific reference was included in the first 37 CFR 1.78. a) The translation of the foreign language provided the first sentence of the lattachment(s).	s have been received. s have been received in Application ity documents have been received (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). of the certified copies not received priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a) t sentence of the specification or visional application has been received.	on No Id in this National Stage d. e) (to a provisional application) in an Application Data Sheet. eived. and/or 121 since a specific
Attachment(s)) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Surrence	(PTO 412) Paner No/->
Notice of Preferences Cited (FTC-652) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTC-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTC-1449) Paper No(s)	5) Notice of Informal Pa	(PTO-413) Paper No(s) atent Application (PTO-152)

Application/Control Number: 09/783,917

Art Unit: 2685

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 1-3 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Lannen et al. (5,497412) in view of Method for Calculating the Check Digit (ISO/TC 46/SC 9/WG 1, date 08/06/1999, by Jane Thacker).

As per claims 1-3, Lannen et al. disclosed a mobile station comprising a memory device for storing an IMEI code having at least six digit hexadecimal Serial Number (SNR) representation, wherein said SNR is used with a procedure so as to insure backwards compatibility with an existing installed base of mobile stations having a Binary Coded Decimal (BCD) SNR representation (see col. 18/In. 47-53 and conversion Table below). Lannen et al. do not disclose a hexadecimal check digit calculation procedure. However, such hexadecimal check digit calculation procedure is well known in the art, as taught in the Method for Calculating the Check Digit (pg. 1-pg. 4). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to provide such hexadecimal check digit calculation procedure to the converting procedure of Lannen et al. in order to verify the validity of the mobile stations.

Art Unit: 2685

Allowable Subject Matter

3. Claims 4-5 are allowed.

Conclusion

4. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Parmet et al. (4,325,117) and Hughes et al. (4,535,204) disclose check digit method.

5. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Pablo Tran whose telephone number is (703)308-7941. The examiner normal hours are 9:30 -5:00 (Monday-Friday). If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Edward Urban, can be reached at (703)305-4385.

Any response to this action should be mailed to:

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

Washington, D.C. 20231

or faxed to:

(703) 872-9314 (for Technology Center 2600 only)

Hand-delivered responses should be brought to Crystal Park II, 2121 Crystal Drive, Arlington, VA, Sixth Floor (Receptionist).

6. Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the Technology Center 2600 Customer Service Office whose telephone number is (703) 306-0377.

PABLO N.TRAN PRIMARY EXAMINER

January 10, 2004

tures